EXHIBIT 29

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
3	*************
	ULTIMA SERVICES CORPORATION,
4	
	Plaintiff,
5	
6	-vs- Case No. 2:2020-cv-00041
7	
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, et al.,
8	
	Defendants.
9	**************
10	VIDEOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF HOWARD STOVER
11	Thursday, May 5, 2022
12	10:02 a.m.
13	Pages 1 - 116
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: KARINA L. JENNINGS
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	
2	Videoconferenced deposition of HOWARD STOVER, taken
3	pursuant to Notice before Karina L. Jennings, Court
4	Reporter, and Notary Public for the Commonwealth of
5	Virginia.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
4	MICHAEL E. ROSMAN, ESQUIRE
5	CENTER FOR INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS
6	1100 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 625
7	Washington, D.C. 20036
8	rosman@cir-usa.org
9	
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF THE AGENCY:
12	JULIET GRAY, ESQUIRE
13	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
14	Civil Rights Division
15	150 M Street, N.E.
16	Washington, D.C. 20002
17	juliet.gray@usdoj.gov
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 4
1		C O N T E N T S	
2			
3	EXAMINATIO	N OF HOWARD STOVER	PAGE
4	Ву	Mr. Rosman	6
5	Ву	Ms. Gray	109
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8			
9	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10	Exhibit 1	Decision memo	32
11	Exhibit 2	LUSA sole source	47
12	Exhibit 3	Acq Plan one	49
13	Exhibit 4	SBA acceptance	50
14	Exhibit 5	Market research report	53
15	Exhibit 6	Acq Plan two	
16	Exhibit 7	POWTEC contract	56
17	Exhibit 8	SBA acceptance TE	57
18	Exhibit 9	Acq Plan TE	60
19	Exhibit 10	Ultima contract	63
20	Exhibit 11	Proposal	67
21	Exhibit 12	Time Systems	69
22	Exhibit 13	Solicitation	70
23	Exhibit 14	Stover e-mail proposal	73
24	Exhibit 15	SBA acceptance	76
25			

			Page 5
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 16	Acceptance letter	78
5	Exhibit 17	Blank English-El contract	78
6	Exhibit 18	Stover e-mails Re contract	82
7	Exhibit 19	Consolation letter	82
8	Exhibit 20	SBA acceptance letter	84
9	Exhibit 21	Memo Re terminations	87
10	Exhibit 22	More complete proposal	94
11	Exhibit 23	SBA acceptance letter	95
12	Exhibit 24	Ultima	95
13	Exhibit 25	Offer e-mail	101
14	Exhibit 26	SBA acceptance	102
15	Exhibit 27	MEC contract	102
16	Exhibit 28	Stover e-mail	104
17	Exhibit 29	SBA acceptance	107
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 someone else's workload.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q So you don't know one way or the other whether there was a task order issued to the State of Washington -- or sorry --

A Yeah, I --

MS. GRAY: Object to form. Asked and answered.

BY MR. ROSMAN:

Q Well, let me just try it again. There was a lot of talking. You don't know one way or the other whether there was a task order issued under the IDIQ for services performed in the State of Washington?

A I -- I don't -- it was not assigned to me, so I don't know -- I don't know what happened in the State of Washington. I don't remember doing a task order for the State of Washington.

Q So going to ask you some questions about the 8(a) program now. Prior to the IDIQs being issued, did you use the 8(a) program to provide any administrative services to the NRCS?

A I -- yes, I did. I did. I did.

O For what states?

A Okay. There you are. Now I recall I think -- it was right, it was -- I think it was

1 Washington. They -- instead of doing -- and I 2 don't -- I don't recall the reason why, but it seems like that there was some sort of emergency, and it 3 was -- I think it was before the IDIO -- and it may 5 not have been -- it may have been after the IDIQ -but I know there was an emergency, because it was the 6 7 first time I used the 8(a) program, and they said that they needed the contract in place in like 48 8 I mean, it was really, really quick. And I 10 don't know what caused the emergency, I don't know 11 whether they had said that they were no longer using 12 Ultima, or that Ultima had reached their ceiling -- I 13 don't remember the reason. I just remember it was emergency. And I called the 8(a) -- excuse me -- I 14 called the Small Business Administration in the State 15 of Washington, and I said, I would like to e-mail you 16 17 a solicitation for requirement that I have, and I really need to get something in place in the next 48 18 hours, and that the new contractor would have to hire 19 20 the current employees. So -- but I just don't 21 remember what the emergency was, or rather -- whether the contract had been Ultima before -- I don't 22 2.3 remember. I just know that I was given the task to get something on the -- a viable contract in place 24 25 within like 48 hours or so. So I called the Small

Business Administration, and they arranged for a Native American concern to look at the solicitation. They provided me some numbers. Their numbers were fairly close to the government estimate, and we awarded that contract, a sole source under the 8(a) program, to this Native American firm. But I -- I just can't remember whether it was before the IDIQ or after the IDIQ. I'm thinking it was before, and I don't remember what the emergency was, why that we had to do something right away, but I do remember that it was -- at the time it seemed like that's the only thing in the world was important was to get that contract in place.

2.3

Q So would you say that the time crunch was a primary motivation for you using an 8(a) sole source contract?

A Yes, no other way I could have did it.

Q Can you recall any other instance prior to the IDIQs -- and I know you said you're not sure this one was prior to the IDIQs -- but can you recall any other instance which may have been before the IDIQs in which you utilized the 8(a) program to provide administrative services to an NRCS office?

A No, because we had the -- we had the IDIQ with Ultima, and it was -- it was fairly simple

would have been able to do a contract, because California would have used it all. So for Colorado or Wyoming or -- and all the other states in Region 4 to have a contracting vehicle to do contracts, we couldn't include California in the -- in the IDIQ contract.

MR. ROSMAN: Okay. Michelle, if you could put CA4 and CA5 into Exhibit Share now, I'd appreciate it.

BY MR. ROSMAN:

2.0

2.3

Q Mr. Stover, so I take it -- my question was, was that your understanding. I take it that that was your understanding -- what was presented in Exhibit 3 was your understanding of why the 8(a) program was used --

A Yeah, it jarred my memory, yes. I didn't know why, but when I read that I remembered, yeah, that there was a problem with California because it was so big.

Q Okay. And did you have the authority to keep California out of the IDIQ contract?

A Absolutely. The IDIQ contract -there's nothing in there that prohibited us from
using another contractor.

Q So let me be clear about that. Was

there anything in the IDIQ contract that would have prohibited you from say competing a task order under the IDIQ contract?

A Well, that's a whole different thing. If you're talking about a task order, the answer would be all task orders had to be -- had to be done against the IDIQ contract. But for example, there was a state that came up, for whatever reason you decided not to use the IDIQ contract, you were perfectly within your rights to do so. There was no -- there was no exclusive deal with Ultima to do all the administrative contracts. The IDIQ was there as a convenience for contracting officers who wanted to use it. We didn't have to use it. We could use other contractors if we so desired.

Q Okay. Good. So let me just make sure I'm clear on this. So if you wanted to compete a contract in Wyoming for administrative services to the NRCS as a total small business set aside contract, that was within your authority; is that right?

A Yes.

2.3

Q Okay. Great. Okay. So let's go back to our exhibits. And let's take a look at Exhibit 5.

I'm going to ask you if you've ever seen this

Let's see. Yeah. This is -- can you identify this document, Exhibit 8?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

A It looks like a -- it looks like it's a -- okay. I -- well, I'm not sure, so I'm not going to say it, but anyway, this looks like it's a follow-on contract to the POWTEC contract.

Q Okay. It's a follow-on contract. Could you just tell us what a follow-on contract is?

For whatever reason, and I'm not Α Okav. sure of why POWTEC could not continue with the contract, and now that I see this document, I'm thinking the reason POWTEC could not continue with the contract is because they graduated from the 8(a) program, and they were -- and when the first bridge contract was awarded to them, they were on the -they only had a year left, which is probably why we only did it for a year, and -- because that's as long as we could do it until they graduated. And Tetna [sic] came in and took over the contract after them, also under the 8(a) program, because POWTEC, due to -- you can only be an 8(a) program for so long and then you graduate, and you have to compete for contracts like everyone else. I think that was the case with POWTEC, they graduated.

Q Right. My question though is what is a

follow-on contract?

2.3

A Well, I mean, when POWTEC left the contract, we still had a requirement, so we still needed to find a contractor to do it.

Q So a follow-on contract is a contract that basically --

A Well, let -- let me -- let me be a little clearer. I called it a follow-on contract, but basically that's not a technical name. This contract was done because POWTEC was unable to continue to do the contract. We needed a new contractor. So can you call it a new requirement, well, it's new in as far as we didn't have anyone to do the contract; we had to find someone to do the contract because POWTEC couldn't continue to do it.

Q Okay. Isn't a follow-on contract a contract that meets the same requirements of an earlier contract?

A Well, I -- I probably would -- I probably would challenge anyone to find, you know, that term about what a follow-on contract -- you hear people use it all the time in different -- different contexts, but I don't think that you'll find follow-on contract in the farm.

Q Okay. Isn't it the case that the

contract had to stay in the 8(a) program unless the SBA released the requirement from the 8(a) program?

A Yeah, that's -- that's true, yeah. The Small Business Administration has to release it, yes.

Q And that's why it was given to another 8(a) contractor, right?

MS. GRAY: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. That's -- I would assume that's -- I'm not sure of exactly what happened, but I do know that this contractor was an 8(a) contractor.

BY MR. ROSMAN:

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 9.

It may help us here. So is this a document you've seen before, Exhibit 9?

A Yes.

Q Okay. This is -- did you draft this yourself?

A I don't recall. A lot of times these documents were put together by a team.

Q Okay. Just take a look and read to yourself the paragraph under B-1, Sources, on the first page.

A Yeah, yeah, just like I thought, that the POWTEC could not continue with the service

contract, without it counting against the IDIQ contract?

A No, because the -- because the Small Business Administration wouldn't have allowed it.

Q Okay. Let me -- I'm probably being unclear as to the time frame. Let's go back a year when you -- when the contract was awarded to POWTEC.

A Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q Could you have awarded -- could you have tried to meet the requirements for a total small business set aside and not use the 8(a) contract?

A Yes, sure.

Q And if you had done that, would it have counted against the IDIQ contract or would it just be a separate stand alone contract?

A It would have been separate.

Q And did you give consideration to doing it that way?

A I don't remember.

Q But a year later, I think, if I understood your testimony, you couldn't do that because the SBA wouldn't allow it?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Now, could you have asked the SBA to release the requirement from the 8(a) program?

	A	Sure	. I me	an, of	cours	se you	can a	ask,
but	their	mission	is to	give a	wards	to dis	advar	ntaged
busi	iness.	Why wo	uld the	y let	this r	equire	ment	just
walk	c away	•						

Have you ever tried to create a new requirement in order to take a requirement outside of the 8(a) program?

> No, I haven't. Α

MR. ROSMAN: All right. Michelle, if you could put CO1 into Exhibit Share.

BY MR. ROSMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I'm going to move to Colorado, Mr. Stover. Do you recall if Ultima was performing task orders under the IDIQ for the State of Colorado?

> Α Yes, they were.

Do you recall approximately when those task orders were issued?

I would assume that it was sometime Α around the same time of the awarding of the IDIQ contract.

Okay. Let's -- let's try to take a look at Exhibit 10. It's not very clear, so -- having a little trouble making it larger.

> Α Okay. I see it.

Q Can you see it?

A It's a request to the Small Business

Administration to bring on -- time systems on under the 8(a) program.

2.0

2.3

Q Okay. And can you tell me -- was this your decision?

A To do the 8(a) contract, yes, it was my decision.

Q Why did you decide to use the 8(a) program to fulfill this particular requirement at this time?

reason, what my thinking was. I do know that when the Ultima contract was canceled, a lot of these contracts were in danger of having a service break, and more importantly, of losing the employees. So the contractor that I brought on board would take over the -- would take over the employees, so the employees would still continue to work, and the only thing would change was the management. Why I did a 8(a) program, I imagine it had to do with -- well, let me not guess. Let me just say that I don't know why I did the 8(a) contract, but I did do one.

Q Would you say that time constraints were frequently a motivation for utilizing 8(a) sole source contracts?

1	A Yeah, pretty much, yes.
2	Q Well, just to close the loop here, let's
3	go out and take a look at Exhibit 12. And can you
4	just identify this document for us?
5	A Yes, this is the contract for the 8(a)
6	company, Time Systems, in Colorado.
7	Q Okay. Very good. Thank you.
8	MR. ROSMAN: Michelle, if you could put HI1
9	into Exhibit Share, that would be great.
10	BY MR. ROSMAN:
11	Q While she's doing that, Mr. Stover, did
12	you give any thought let me rephrase the question.
13	Do you recall whether you considered the possibility
14	of trying to meet the requirements through a total
15	small business set aside in Colorado?
16	A I don't remember what my thought process
17	was.
18	Q Do you know someone named Randy Randall?
19	A Yes, I know Mr. Randall.
20	Q Did you ever have any conversations with
21	Mr. Randall about the requirement in Colorado for
22	administrative services?
23	A Yes, Mr. Randall very much wanted to
24	keep the Ultima contract in place. Him and the

Colorado state conservationist went to Mr. Astor

25